

A subsequence characterization of sequences spanning isomorphically polyhedral Banach spaces

G. Androulakis

October 13, 1996

Abstract: Let (x_n) be a sequence in a Banach space X which does not converge in norm, and let E be an isomorphically precisely norming set for X such that

$$\sum_n |x^*(x_{n+1} - x_n)| < \infty, \quad \forall x^* \in E. \quad (*)$$

Then there exists a subsequence of (x_n) which spans an isomorphically polyhedral Banach space. It follows immediately from results of V. Fonf that the converse is also true: If a separable Banach space Y is a separable isomorphically polyhedral then there exists a non norm convergent sequence (x_n) which spans Y and there exists an isomorphically precisely norming set E for Y such that $(*)$ is satisfied. As an application of this subsequence characterization of sequences spanning isomorphically polyhedral Banach spaces we obtain a strengthening of a result of J. Elton, and an Orlicz-Pettis type result.

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank Professor H. Rosenthal for suggesting this project to me and for his help. Also, I would like to thank Professors N. J. Kalton and G. Godefroy for their comments.

1 Introduction

In 1958 C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński proved the following

Theorem 1.1 ([BP]) *If (x_n) is a non-weakly convergent sequence in a Banach space X such that*

$$\sup_{x^* \in Ba(X^*)} \sum_n |x^*(x_{n+1} - x_n)| < \infty \quad (1)$$

then there exists a subsequence of (x_n) which is equivalent to the summing basis (s_n) of c_0 .

Recall that the summing basis (s_n) of c_0 is defined by $s_n = e_1 + \dots + e_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$, where (e_n) denotes the unit vector basis of c_0 . In 1981 J. Elton was able to eliminate the assumption “non-weakly convergent” and relax the condition (1) and still obtain that c_0 embeds in the closed linear span $[x_n]$ of (x_n) . The result of J. Elton can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.2 ([E2]) *If (x_n) is a semi-normalized basic sequence in a Banach space X such that*

$$\sum |x^*(x_n)| < \infty, \forall x^* \in \text{ext } Ba(X^*) \quad (2)$$

(where $\text{ext } Ba(X^)$ denotes the set of the extreme points of the dual ball) then c_0 embeds in $[x_n]$.*

In order to prove this result, J. Elton first showed that there exists a polyhedral Banach space which embeds in $[x_n]$ (for the definition, examples and properties of the polyhedral Banach spaces see the next section). Then the result of Theorem 1.2 follows from the following theorem of V. Fonf:

Theorem 1.3 ([F3]) *Every polyhedral Banach space X contains an isomorph of c_0 , and if in addition X is separable, then X^* is separable.*

We prove a stronger result than Theorem 1.2 by eliminating the condition of having a basic sequence, by replacing the set of the extreme points in condition (2) by any isomorphically precisely norming set, and finally by obtaining the precise way that a polyhedral Banach space embeds in $[x_n]$. Our main result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.4 *If (x_n) is a sequence in a Banach space X which does not converge in norm, and E is an isomorphically precisely norming set for X such that*

$$\sum_n |x^*(x_{n+1} - x_n)| < \infty, \forall x^* \in E \quad (3)$$

then there exists a subsequence of (x_n) which spans an isomorphically polyhedral Banach space.

Conversely, if Y is a separable isomorphically polyhedral Banach space then there exists a non norm convergent sequence (x_n) in Y and an isomorphically precisely norming set E for Y such that $[x_n] = Y$ and (3) holds.

Recall that a set $E \subset X^*$ is called *isomorphically precisely norming* for $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, (the terminology is due to H. Rosenthal [R]), if there exists $C \geq 1$ such that

- (a) $E \subseteq C \cdot Ba(X^*)$,
- (b) $\frac{1}{C}\|x\| \leq \sup_{e \in E} |e(x)|, \forall x \in X$, and
- (c) $\forall x \in X \exists e_0 \in E |e_0(x)| = \sup_{e \in E} |e(x)|$.

If E satisfies (a), (b), and (c) for $C = 1$ then E is called *precisely norming* for $(X, \|\cdot\|)$.

Definition 1.5 *The set of the norm achieving extreme points of the dual ball of a Banach space X is defined as follows:*

$$\text{next } Ba(X^*) = \{x^* \in \text{ext } Ba(X^*) : \exists x \in Ba(X) |x^*(x)| = 1\}.$$

The set $\text{next } Ba(X^*)$ is an example of a precisely norming set for X .

Theorem 1.4 is a strengthening of the following remark which can be easily derived from a result of V. Fonf [F4].

Remark 1.6 *Under the same hypotheses of Theorem 1.4 there exist a sequence $(\varepsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and an increasing sequence of positive integers (ℓ_k) such that $[(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell_k} \varepsilon_i(x_i - x_{i-1}))_k]$ is an i.p. space.*

We sketch the proof of Remark 1.6 at the end of Section 3.

The last section is devoted to applications of Theorem 1.4. One application is given in $C(K)$ spaces. If K is a compact metric space then $DSC(K)$ denotes the class of bounded differences of semi-continuous functions on K (the definition appears in section 4). An immediate corollary of Theorem 1.4 is the following:

Theorem 1.7 *Let $f \in DSC(K) \setminus C(K)$ be given, where K is a compact metric space. Then f strictly governs the class of (separable) polyhedral Banach spaces.*

This theorem was the main motivating result for this research. The definitions of the terms “strictly governs” and “governs” appear in section 4. This generalizes the following theorem of J. Elton which was also proved by R. Haydon, E. Odell and H. Rosenthal:

Theorem 1.8 ([E2], [HOR]) *Let $f \in DSC(K) \setminus C(K)$ be given, where K is a compact metric space. Then f governs $\{c_0\}$.*

Another application is the following Orlicz-Pettis type result:

Theorem 1.9 *Let (y_n) be a sequence in a Banach space X and let E be an isomorphically precisely norming set for X . If c_0 does not embed isomorphically in the closed linear span $[y_n]$ of (y_n) and*

$$\sum_n |x^*(y_n)| < \infty, \quad \forall x^* \in E,$$

then $\sum_n y_n$ converges unconditionally.

2 Isomorphically polyhedral Banach spaces

Polyhedral Banach spaces were introduced by V. Klee [K]. An infinite dimensional Banach space is called *polyhedral* if the ball of any of its finite dimensional subspaces is a polyhedron, i.e. it has finitely many extreme points. c_0 is an example of a polyhedral Banach space. A Banach space will be called *isomorphically polyhedral* (i.p. in short) if it is polyhedral under

some equivalent norm. We are interested in isomorphic theory and therefore in i.p. Banach spaces. Examples of i.p. Banach spaces are: c (the space of convergent sequences), the ℓ_1 preduals [F4], the spaces $C(\alpha)$ for any ordinal α [F2], c_0 -sum of separable i.p. spaces (easy to prove using Theorem 2.1), finite dimensional extensions of i.p. spaces (easy to prove), the Orlicz sequence space h_M where M is a non-degenerate Orlicz function satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} M(Kt)/M(t) = \infty$ for some $K > 1$ [L]. The following characterization of the separable i.p. Banach spaces was proved by V. Fonf: (note that if $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space, $|\cdot|$ is an equivalent norm and $C \geq 1$ then we say that these norms are C equivalent if $C^{-1}\|x\| \leq |x| \leq C\|x\|$ for all $x \in X$)

Theorem 2.1 ([F3], [F4], [F5]) *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a separable Banach space. TFAE*

- (1) *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $1 + \varepsilon$ equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ on X such that $(X, |\cdot|)$ is polyhedral.*
- (2) *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $1 + \varepsilon$ equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|$ on X such that the set $\text{next } Ba(X, \|\cdot\|)^*$ is countable.*

The following theorem which was proved recently by R. Deville, V. Fonf and P. Hájek, gives an interesting property of the i.p. Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.2 ([DFH]) *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a separable i.p. Banach space, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a $1 + \varepsilon$ equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ on X such that $(X, |\cdot|)$ is polyhedral.*

The following lemma, which gives a sufficient condition for a Banach space X to be an i.p. space, uses ideas similar to the ones that are found in [F1]. It is stated and proved in a slightly more general setting that we shall need. Before we state it, we need some terminology: Let X be a Banach space and K be a weak* compact subset of X^* . The Banach space $C(K)$ will always be equipped with the supremum norm which will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ or $\|\cdot\|_{C(K)}$. We denote by $X \upharpoonright K$ the subspace of $C(K)$ which is obtained as the image of X via the composition of the maps:

$$X \xrightarrow{\phi} X^{**} \xrightarrow{r} C(K)$$

where ϕ is the canonical embedding of X in X^{**} and r is the restriction map defined by $r(x^{**}) = x^{**} \upharpoonright K$ for every $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ (where the domain of $x^{**} \upharpoonright K$ is K), and

$$(x^{**} \upharpoonright K)(k) = x^{**}(k), \text{ for all } k \in K.$$

Note that $X \upharpoonright K$ is a (possibly non-complete) normed linear space. For $x \in X$ we write $x \upharpoonright K = r(\phi(x))$. We adopt the convention that the maximum or the supremum of an empty family of numbers is $-\infty$ and the minimum or the infimum of an empty family of numbers is $+\infty$.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $K \subset X^*$ be a precisely norming set. Let I, J be disjoint subsets of the integers. Let $(K_n)_{n \in I \cup J}$ be weak* compact subsets of K with $K = \bigcup_{n \in I \cup J} K_n$ (one of the index sets I, J may also be empty). Let $(C_i)_{i \in I} \subset (1, 2)$ and $(\varepsilon_j)_{j \in J} \subset (0, 1)$ be two sequences of numbers such that $C_i \searrow 1$ (if I is infinite) and $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$ (if J is infinite). We assume the following:*

- *For every $i \in I$ there exists an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|_i$ on $X \upharpoonright K_i$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_\infty &\leq \|y\|_i \leq C_i \|y\|_\infty, \quad \forall y \in X \upharpoonright K_i \text{ and} \\ (X \upharpoonright K_i, \|\cdot\|_i) &\text{ has a countable precisely norming set} \\ &\text{(we call it } \tilde{K}_i \text{ for future reference during the proof).} \end{aligned}$$

- *For every $j \in J$ there exists a finite set A_j of K_j such that for every $x \in X$,*

$$\text{if } \|x\| = \|x \upharpoonright K_j\|_\infty \text{ then } \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_j} \max_{a \in A_j} |a(x)|.$$

Then X is an i.p. space.

Proof If $J \neq \emptyset$ then choose a sequence $(\delta_j)_{j \in J}$ of positive numbers satisfying the following properties:

- $1 + \delta_j > (\sup_{i \in I, i > j} C_i) \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_j}$ for all $j \in J$ with $\{i \in I : i > j\} \neq \emptyset$,
- $1 + \delta_j > \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_j}$, (if $\{i \in I : i > j\} = \emptyset$), and

- $\lim_{J \ni j \rightarrow \infty} \delta_j = 0$ (if J is infinite).

We can choose $(\delta_j)_{j \in J}$ with the above properties since $C_i \searrow 1$ (if I is infinite) and $\varepsilon_j \searrow 0$ (if J is infinite). Let $(x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*)_{\ell \in \mathbf{N}}$ be an enumeration of the set $\cup_{i \in I} \tilde{K}_i$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} i_\ell &\in I \text{ and} \\ x_{i_\ell, \ell}^* &\in \tilde{K}_i \end{aligned}$$

for all $\ell \in \mathbf{N}$. For every $i \in I$ we consider the operator

$$T_i : (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (C(K_i), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$$

defined by $T_i = r_i \circ \phi$ where $\phi : X \longrightarrow X^{**}$ is the canonical embedding and $r_i : X^{**} \longrightarrow C(K_i)$ is the restriction operator ($r_i(x^{**}) = x^{**} \mid K_i$ for all $x^{**} \in X^{**}$). Then, we define an equivalent norm $\|\!\| \cdot \|\!$ on X by

$$\|\!\| x \|\! = \sup_{\ell \in \mathbf{N}} (1 + \frac{1}{\ell}) |(T_{i_\ell}^* x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*)x| \vee \sup_{j \in J} (1 + \delta_j) \max_{a \in A_j} |a(x)|.$$

We shall show that $\|\!\| \cdot \|\!$ is an equivalent norm on X such that next $Ba(X, \|\!\| \cdot \|\!)$ is countable. This will finish the proof of the lemma.

We first show that $\|\!\| \cdot \|\!$ is an equivalent norm on X .

Fix $x \in X$ with $\|x\| = 1$. We have that $\|T_i\| \leq 1$ hence $\|T_i^*\| \leq 1$ for all $i \in I$. Also we have that $\|x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*\|_{C(K_{i_\ell})} \leq C_{i_\ell} \|x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*\|_{i_\ell} = C_{i_\ell} < 2$ for every $\ell \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus we obtain

$$\|\!\| x \|\! \leq 4 \vee (1 + \max_{j \in J} \delta_j).$$

On the other hand there exists $k \in K$ such that $|k(x)| = 1$. Since $K = \cup_{n \in I \cup J} K_n$, there exists $n \in I \cup J$ such that $k \in K_n$, and therefore $1 = \|x\| = \|x \mid K_n\|_\infty$. If $n \in I$, then since the set $\tilde{K}_i$ is precisely norming for $(X \mid K_n, \|\cdot\|_n)$, there exists $\ell \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $i_\ell = n$ and

$$\|x \mid K_n\|_\infty \leq \|x \mid K_n\|_n = |x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*(x \mid K_n)| = |(T_n^* x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*)(x)| \leq \|\!\| x \|\!.$$

If $n \in J$ then

$$1 = \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_n} \max_{a \in A_n} |a(x)| \leq \left(\max_{j \in J} \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_j} \right) \|x\|.$$

Thus

$$\|x\| \geq \min_{j \in J} (1 - \varepsilon_j) \wedge 1.$$

Thus, $\|\cdot\|$ is an equivalent norm on X .

For $x \in X$ we define

$$|x| = \sup_{\ell \in \mathbf{N}} |(T_{i_\ell}^* x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*)(x)| \vee \sup_{j \in J} \max_{a \in A_j} |a(x)|.$$

Then $|\cdot|$ is an equivalent norm on X , since it is evidently equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$. Note that since for every $i \in I$, $\tilde{K}_i$ is a precisely norming set for $(X \mid K_i, \|\cdot\|_i)$, we have that

$$\sup_{\ell \in \mathbf{N}} |T_{i_\ell}^* x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*(x)| = \sup_i \|x \mid K_i\|_i, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Obviously, we have that $|x| \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in X$. We now show that $|x| < \|x\|$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$.

Fix $x \in X$. Since K is a precisely norming set for $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, there exists $k \in K$ such that $\|x\| = |k(x)|$. Since $K = \cup_{n \in I \cup J} K_n$, there exists $n \in I \cup J$ such that $k \in K_n$; thus $\|x\| = \|x \mid K_n\|_\infty$. We separate two cases:

Case 1: Assume that $n \in I$.

There exists $\ell \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $i_\ell = n$ and $\|x \mid K_n\|_n = |x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*(x \mid K_n)| = |(T_{i_\ell}^* x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*)(x)|$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{j \in J} \max_{a \in A_j} |a(x)| &\leq \sup_{j \in J} \|x \mid K_j\|_\infty \leq \|x \mid K_n\|_\infty \leq \|x \mid K_n\|_n \\ &= |(T_{i_\ell}^* x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*)(x)| < (1 + \frac{1}{\ell}) |(T_{i_\ell}^* x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*)(x)| \leq \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, choose $i' \in I$ such that $\sup_{i \in I, i > i'} C_i < 1 + \frac{1}{\ell}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{i \in I, i > i'} \|x \mid K_i\|_i &\leq \sup_{i \in I, i > i'} C_i \|x \mid K_i\|_\infty \\
&\leq \sup_{i \in I, i > i'} C_i \|x \mid K_n\|_\infty \\
&\leq \sup_{i \in I, i > i'} C_i \|x \mid K_n\|_n \\
&< (1 + \frac{1}{\ell}) |T_{i_\ell}^* x_{i_\ell, \ell}^*(x)| \\
&\leq \|x\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, for every $i \in I$ with $i \leq i'$ there exists $\ell(i) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $i_{\ell(i)} = i$ and

$$\|x \mid K_i\|_i = |x_{i_{\ell(i)}, \ell(i)}^*(x \mid K_i)| = |(T_{i_{\ell(i)}}^* x_{i_{\ell(i)}, \ell(i)}^*)(x)|$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{i \in I, i \leq i'} \|x \mid K_i\|_i &= \max_{i \in I, i \leq i'} |(T_{i_{\ell(i)}}^* x_{i_{\ell(i)}, \ell(i)}^*)(x)| \\
&< \max_{i \in I, i \leq i'} (1 + \frac{1}{\ell(i)}) |(T_{i_{\ell(i)}}^* x_{i_{\ell(i)}, \ell(i)}^*)(x)| \\
&\leq \|x\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore in case 1 we have that $|x| < \|x\|$.

Case 2: Assume that $n \in J$.

Since $\|x\| = \|x \mid K_n\|_\infty$ we obtain

$$\|x \mid K_n\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_n} \max_{a \in A_n} |a(x)|.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{j \in J} \max_{a \in A_j} |a(x)| &\leq \sup_{j \in J} \|x \mid K_j\|_\infty \\
&\leq \|x \mid K_n\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_n} \max_{a \in A_n} |a(x)| \\
&< (1 + \delta_n) \max_{a \in A_n} |a(x)| \leq \|x\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, if $\{i \in I : i > n\} \neq \emptyset$ then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{i \in I, i > n} \|x \mid K_i\|_i &\leq \sup_{i \in I, i > n} C_i \|x \mid K_i\|_\infty \\
&\leq \sup_{i \in I, i > n} C_i \|x \mid K_n\|_\infty \\
&\leq \left(\sup_{i \in I, i > n} C_i \right) \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_n} \max_{a \in A_n} |a(x)| \\
&< (1 + \delta_n) \max_{a \in A_n} |a(x)| \\
&\leq \|x\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the argument in the final part of Case 1, shows that

$$\sup_{i \in I, i \leq n} \|x \mid K_i\|_i < \|x\|.$$

Therefore, in Case 2 we have that $|x| < \|x\|$. Hence, we have shown that $|x| < \|x\|$ for all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$.

Set

$$A = \left\{ \pm \left(1 + \frac{1}{\ell}\right) T_{i_\ell}^* x_{i_\ell, \ell}^* : \ell \in \mathbf{N} \right\} \cup \left\{ \pm (1 + \delta_j) a : j \in \mathbf{J}, a \in A_j \right\}.$$

We now show that

$$\text{next } Ba(X, \|\cdot\|)^* \subseteq A. \quad (4)$$

This will finish the proof of the lemma, since A is countable.

By the definition of $\|\cdot\|$ we have that the set of the extreme points of the dual ball, $\text{ext } Ba(X, \|\cdot\|)^*$ satisfies

$$\text{ext } Ba(X, \|\cdot\|)^* \subseteq \text{w}^*\text{-cl}(A)$$

where for a set $B \subseteq X^*$, $\text{w}^*\text{-cl}(B)$ denotes the weak* closure of B . Let also $\text{w}^*\text{-ap}(B)$ to denote the set of the proper weak* accumulation points of B , i.e.

$$\text{w}^*\text{-ap}(B) = \text{w}^*\text{-cl}(B) \setminus B.$$

We shall show that if $x^* \in \text{w}^*\text{-ap}(A)$ and there exists $x \in X$ with $\|x\| = 1$ such that $\|x^*\| = |x^*(x)|$, then $\|x^*\| < 1$. Thus $x^* \notin \text{ext } Ba(X, \|\cdot\|)^*$ which

gives (4). Consider $x^* \in w^*\text{-ap}(A)$ and $x \in X$ with $\|x\| = 1$ such that $\|x^*\| = |x^*(x)|$. Then we have that

$$\|x^*\| = |x^*(x)| \leq |x^*||x| < |x^*|\|x\| = |x^*|.$$

Since $x^* \in w^*\text{-ap}(A)$ we obtain that

$$x^* \in w^*\text{-cl} \left[\left\{ \pm(1 + \frac{1}{\ell}) T_{i_\ell}^* x_{i_\ell, \ell}^* : \ell > \ell' \right\} \cup \left\{ \pm(1 + \delta_j) a : J \ni j > j', a \in A_j \right\} \right],$$

for all $\ell' \in \mathbf{N}$ and for all $j' \in J$. Since $\lim_{J \ni j \rightarrow \infty} \delta_j = 0$ (if J is infinite) we have that $|x^*| \leq 1$. Thus $\|x^*\| < 1$ which finishes the proof. $\square$

3 The proof of the main result

Lemma 2.3 is one of the basic ingredients for the proof of Theorem 1.4. Before we present the proof of Theorem 1.4, we give some more preliminary ingredients. We use the following subsequence dichotomy for the c_0 basis, due to J. Elton:

Theorem 3.1 ([E1]) *Every semi-normalized weakly null sequence which does not have a semi-boundedly complete subsequence, has a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 .*

Recall that a sequence (x_n) is called *semi-boundedly complete* if for every sequence $(\lambda_n) \subset \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$\sup_m \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_n x_n \right\| < \infty \Rightarrow \lambda_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Our main result will follow from the following:

Theorem 3.2 *If (x_n) is a basic sequence in a Banach space X with $\inf_n \|x_n\| > 0$, and E is an isomorphically precisely norming set for X such that*

$$\sum_n |x^*(x_{n+1} - x_n)| < \infty, \quad \forall x^* \in E,$$

then there exists a subsequence of (x_n) which spans an isomorphically polyhedral Banach space.

We postpone the proof of Theorem 3.2 for the moment. We first give a proof of Theorem 1.4 using the result of Theorem 3.2. First of all we present a proof of a well known result (Lemma 3.4) which generalizes the Bessaga-Pelczynski Selection Principle [BP] (see also pages 42 and 46 in [D]).

Definition 3.3 *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and Y be a linear subspace (not necessarily closed) of X^* .*

(a) *Y is a total linear space if for every $x \in X$ we have that:*

$$\text{If } y(x) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in Y \text{ then } x = 0.$$

(b) *Y is a 1-norming linear space if for every $x \in X$ we have that*

$$\|x\| = \sup_{y \in Y, \|y\|=1} |y(x)|.$$

Also, for a functional $x^ \in X^*$ we define the kernel of x^* by:*

$$\ker x^* = \{x \in X : x^*(x) = 0\}.$$

The proof of the Bessaga-Pelczynski Selection Principle gives that if (x_n) is a normalized sequence in a Banach space and Y is a 1-norming linear (not necessarily closed) space such that 0 is a cluster point of (x_n) for the $\sigma(X, Y)$ topology, then (x_n) admits a basic subsequence.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and Y be a total linear (not necessarily closed) subspace of X^* . Let (x_n) be a sequence in X such that $\inf_n \|x_n\| > 0$ and $y(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ for all $y \in Y$. Then (x_n) has a basic subsequence.*

Proof Set $y_n = x_n / \|x_n\|$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Let $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ be a weak* cluster point of (y_n) . Since $\inf_n \|x_n\| > 0$, we have that $y(y_n) \rightarrow 0$ for all $y \in Y$. Thus $x^{**} \upharpoonright Y = 0$. Therefore we obtain that $x^{**} \in (X^{**} \setminus X) \cup \{0\}$. Define $\mathcal{N} = \ker x^{**}$ and

$$\|x\| = \sup\{x^*(x) : x^* \in \mathcal{N}, \|x^*\| = 1\}, \forall x \in X.$$

Evidently $\|\cdot\|$ is an equivalent norm on X and $\mathcal{N}$ is a 1-norming space for $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Also, 0 is a cluster point of $(y_n / \|y_n\|)$ for the $\sigma(X, \mathcal{N})$ topology.

The proof of Bessaga-Pelczynski Selection Principle gives that $(y_n/\|y_n\|)$ (and therefore (x_n) itself) admits a basic subsequence. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4 Let (x_n) be a sequence in a Banach space X which does not converge in norm, and let E be an isomorphically precisely norming set for X such that (3) holds. We define the (not necessarily closed) subspace $Y = \text{span}(E)$ of X^* . Then Y is a total linear space. Also, for every $y \in Y$ the sequence $(y(x_n))_n$ is Cauchy. Define the linear function $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$f(y) = \lim_n y(x_n), \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

Define a (not necessarily closed) 1-codimensional subspace $\mathcal{N}$ of Y by

$$\mathcal{N} = \{y \in Y : f(y) = 0\}.$$

We separate two cases:

Case 1: Assume that $\mathcal{N}$ is total.

Since (x_n) is not norm convergent we can assume by considering an appropriate subsequence that $\inf_n \|x_n\| > 0$. Since $y(x_n) \rightarrow 0, \forall y \in \mathcal{N}$ there exists a subsequence of (x_n) which is basic. The result follows from Theorem 3.2.

Case 2: Assume that $\mathcal{N}$ is not total.

Since $\mathcal{N}$ is a non-total 1-codimensional linear subspace of Y , and f is a linear function on Y , there exists $x \in X$ such that

$$y(x) = f(y), \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

Thus we have that

$$y(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

Since (x_n) is not norm convergent and Y is total, considering an appropriate subsequence, we can assume that $\inf_n \|x_n - x\| > 0$, $(x_n - x)$ is basic, and

$$\sum_n |x^*[(x_{n+1} - x) - (x_n - x)]| < \infty, \quad \forall x^* \in E.$$

Thus by Theorem 3.2 there exists a subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) such that $[(x_{n_k} - x)_k]$ is isomorphically polyhedral. Thus the 1-dimensional extension $[(x_{n_k} - x)_k] + [x]$ is an i.p. space, and therefore so is its subspace $[x_{n_k}]$.

Conversely, consider a separable isomorphically polyhedral Banach space Y . By Theorem 2.1 there exists a countable isomorphically precisely norming set $E = \{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ of non zero functionals. Also, since Y is separable, there exists a sequence (y_n) such that $[y_n] = Y$. By rearranging (and perhaps by increasing) the set of y_i 's we can assume that

$$\begin{aligned} [y_1] + \ker f_1 &= X, \\ [y_1, y_2] + \cap_{j=1}^2 \ker f_j &= X, \\ [y_1, y_2, y_3] + \cap_{j=1}^3 \ker f_j &= X \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Set $x_1 = y_1$. We can write $y_2 = y'_2 + y''_2$ where $y'_2 \in [y_1]$ and $y''_2 \in \ker f_1$. Set $x_2 = y''_2$. Again, we can write $y_3 = y'_3 + y''_3$ where $y'_3 \in [y_1, y_2]$ and $y''_3 \in \cap_{j=1}^2 \ker f_j$. Set $x_3 = y''_3$ etc. Therefore, for every positive integer n we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, \dots, x_n] &= [y_1, \dots, y_n] \text{ and} \\ x_{n+1} &\in \cap_{j=1}^n \ker f_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $[x_n] = Y$ and (3) holds. □

We now present the

Proof of Theorem 3.2 We can assume without loss of generality that X is separable (e.g. by considering $X = [x_n]$). For every $x \in X$ we define

$$\|x\| = \sup_{e \in E} |e(x)|.$$

This defines an equivalent norm on X , and E is a precisely norming set for $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Also, the weak* topology is metrizable on $Ba(X^*)$, and let $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the induced metric. For $m \in \mathbf{N}$ we define (set $x_0 = 0$)

$$K_m = \{x^* \in Ba(X, \|\cdot\|)^* : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x^*(x_n - x_{n-1})| \leq m\}.$$

Then, K_m is a weak* closed subset of $Ba(X^*)$ for every $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$, and $K := \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m \supseteq E$. Define $f : K \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$f(k) = \lim_n k(x_n), \quad \forall k \in K.$$

We separate the following cases:

Case 1: Assume that there exists $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that the restriction $f|K_m$ is not continuous (K_n will always be equipped with the weak* topology of X^* , for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$).

Claim A: For every $m' \geq m$ there exists a subsequence $(x_n^{m'})_n$ of (x_n) satisfying:

- $(x_n^m)_n$ is a subsequence of (x_n) .
- $(x_n^{m'+1})_n$ is a subsequence of $(x_n^{m'})_n$.
- $[(x_n^{m'}|K_{m'})_n]$ is an i.p. Banach space (where $[(x_n^{m'}|K_{m'})_n]$ denotes the completion of the normed space $\text{span}(x_n^{m'}|K_{m'})_n$).

Indeed, for $m' = m$ we have that

$$\sup\left\{\sum_n |x^*(x_n - x_{n-1})| : x^* \in Ba([(x_n|K_m)_n], \|\cdot\|_{C(K_m)})^*\right\} \leq m$$

and $(x_n|K_m)_n$ is non-weakly convergent in $C(K_m)$. Thus by Theorem 1.1 there exists a subsequence $(x_n^m)_n$ of (x_n) such that $(x_n^m|K_m)_n$ is equivalent to the summing basis. Thus $[(x_n^m|K_m)_n]$ is an i.p. Banach space. The proof of the inductive step is a repetition of the same argument, since the hypothesis “ $f|K_m$ is not continuous” gives that “ $f|K_{m'}$ is not continuous” for every $m' \geq m$. The proof of Claim A is complete.

Let $(C_{m'})_{m' \geq m} \subset (1, 2)$ be a sequence of numbers with $C_{m'} \searrow 1$. Set $y_n = x_n^n$ for every $n \geq m$. Then $(y_n)_{n \geq m}$ is a subsequence of (x_n) , and $(y_n)_{n \geq m'}$ is a subsequence of $(x_n^{m'})_n$ for every $m' \geq m$. Thus $[(y_n|K_{m'})_{n \geq m'}]$ is an i.p. Banach space for every $m' \geq m$. Hence $[(y_n|K_{m'})_{n \geq m}]$ is an i.p. Banach space for every $m' \geq m$. Thus, by Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.1, for every $m' \geq m$ there exists a $\sqrt{C_{m'}}$ equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|_{m'}$ on $[(y_n|K_{m'})_{n \in \mathbf{N}}]$ such that

$$\text{next } Ba([(y_n|K_{m'})_{n \geq m}], \|\cdot\|_{m'})^* \text{ is countable.}$$

Also, by multiplying $\|\cdot\|_{m'}$ by an appropriate constant, we can assume that

$$\|z\|_{C(K_{m'})} \leq \|z\|_{m'} \leq C_{m'} \|z\|_{C(K_{m'})}, \quad \forall z \in [(y_n|K_{m'})_{n \geq m}].$$

Thus the assumptions of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied for the Banach space $[y_n]$, $I = \{m, m+1, \dots\}$, and $J = \emptyset$. Hence $[y_n]$ is an i.p. space.

Case 2: Assume that $f \mid K_m$ is continuous for every $m \in \mathbf{N}$.

We separate two cases:

Subcase 2.1: Assume that there exists a subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) and there exists $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\inf_{n \neq n'} \|(y_n - y_{n'}) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} > 0.$$

Hence

$$\inf_n \|(y_n - f) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} > 0$$

and therefore for every $m' \geq m$ we have that

$$\inf_n \|(y_n - f) \mid K_{m'}\|_{C(K_{m'})} > 0.$$

Thus for every $m' \geq m$, $((y_n - f) \mid K_{m'})_n$ is a weakly null semi-normalized sequence (by the definition of $K_{m'}$, note that $\|y_n \mid K_{m'}\|_{C(K_{m'})} \leq m'$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$).

Claim B: For every subsequence (z_n) of (y_n) and for every $m' = m, m+1, \dots$ we have that $((z_n - f) \mid K_{m'})_n$ is not semi-boundedly complete.

Indeed, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|[(z_1 - f) - (z_2 - f) + \dots + (-1)^{n+1}(z_n - f)] \mid K_{m'}\|_{C(K_{m'})} \\ & \leq \|[z_1 - z_2 + \dots + (-1)^{n+1}z_n] \mid K_{m'}\|_{C(K_{m'})} + \|f \mid K_{m'}\|_{C(K_{m'})}. \end{aligned}$$

There exists $k \in K_{m'}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|[z_1 - z_2 + \dots + (-1)^{n+1}z_n] \mid K_{m'}\|_{C(K_{m'})} \\ & = |(z_1 - z_2 + \dots + (-1)^{n+1}z_n)(k)| \\ & \leq |(z_1 - z_2)(k)| + |(z_3 - z_4)(k)| + \dots + m' \\ & \leq \sum_i |k(x_i - x_{i-1})| + m' \\ & \leq 2m'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_n \|[(z_1 - f) - (z_2 - f) + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1}(z_n - f)] \mid K_{m'}\|_{C(K_{m'})} \\ \leq 2m' + \|f \mid K_{m'}\|_{C(K_{m'})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence $((z_n - f) \mid K_{m'})_n$ is not semi-boundedly complete since the sequence $((-1)^{n+1})_n$ does not converge to zero. Claim B is proved.

Claim C: For every $m' \geq m$ there exists a subsequence $(y_n^{m'})_n$ of (y_n) satisfying

- (y_n^m) is a subsequence of (y_n) .
- $(y_n^{m'+1})_n$ is a subsequence of $(y_n^{m'})_n$.
- $([(y_n^{m'} \mid K_{m'})_n], \|\cdot\|_{C(K_{m'})})$ is an i.p. Banach space.

Indeed, for $m' = m$, $((y_n - f) \mid K_m)_n$ is a weakly null semi-normalized sequence which does not have any semi-boundedly complete subsequence (by Claim B). By Theorem 3.1 there exists a subsequence $(y_n^m)_n$ of (y_n) such that $((y_n^m - f) \mid K_m)_n$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 . Thus $([(y_n^m - f) \mid K_m]_n, \|\cdot\|_{C(K_m)})$ is an i.p. Banach space. Hence $([(y_n^m - f) \mid K_m]_n) + [f \mid K_m]$ is an i.p. Banach space, and therefore so is its subspace $[(y_n^m \mid K_m)_n]$. The proof of the inductive step is a repetition of the same argument. The proof of Claim C is complete and the proof of Subcase 2.1 finishes identically as in Case 1.

Subcase 2.2: Assume that for every subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) , and for every $m \in \mathbf{N}$ we have that

$$\inf_{n \neq n'} \|(y_n - y_{n'}) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} = 0.$$

Let $(\varepsilon'_n) \subset (0, 1)$ be a sequence of numbers such that $n\varepsilon'_n \searrow 0$.

Claim D: There exists a subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) such that

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \|(y_n - f) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} \leq \varepsilon'_m, \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}.$$

In order to prove Claim D, we first prove

Subclaim Da: For every subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) , for every $m \in \mathbf{N}$, and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subsequence (z_n) of (y_n) such that

$$\|(z_1 - z_n) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} < \varepsilon, \forall n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Indeed, assume that Subclaim Da is false. Thus, if we set

$$I_1 = \{n \in \mathbf{N} : \|(y_1 - y_n) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} < \varepsilon\},$$

then I_1 is finite. Set $i_1 = \max I_1 + 1$. Also, the set

$$I_2 = \{n > i_1 : \|(y_{i_1} - y_n) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} < \varepsilon\}$$

is finite. Set $i_2 = \max I_2 + 1$. We continue similarly. Then the subsequence (y_{i_n}) of (y_n) satisfies

$$\inf_{n \neq n'} \|(y_{i_n} - y_{i_{n'}}) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} > \varepsilon$$

which is a contradiction. Subclaim Da is proved.

Subclaim Db: For every subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) and for every $m \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists a subsequence (z_n) of (y_n) such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(z_n - f) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} \leq \varepsilon'_m.$$

Indeed, using Subclaim Da, choose a subsequence (y_n^1) of (y_n) such that

$$\|(y_1^1 - y_n^1) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon'_m}{2^2}, \forall n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then, we choose a subsequence (y_n^2) of (y_n^1) such that

$$\|(y_1^2 - y_n^2) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon'_m}{2^3}, \forall n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

We continue similarly to define $(y_n^k)_n$ for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$ satisfying:

$$\|(y_1^k - y_n^k) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon'_m}{2^{k+1}}, \forall n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Thus

$$\|(y_n^k - y_{n'}^k) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon'_m}{2^k}, \quad \forall n, n' \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Set $z_n = y_n^n$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then (z_n) is a subsequence of (y_n) and for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$ $(z_n)_{n \geq k}$ is a subsequence of $(y_n^k)_n$. Hence

$$\|(z_n - z_{n'}) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon'_m}{2^k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbf{N}, \quad \forall n, n' \geq k.$$

By taking $n = k$ and $n' \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that

$$\|(z_n - f) \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon'_m}{2^n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N},$$

which proves Subclaim Db.

Now the proof of Claim D consists of another diagonal argument using Subclaim Db: Choose a subsequence (x_n^1) of (x_n) such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(x_n^1 - f) \mid K_1\|_{C(K_1)} \leq \varepsilon'_1.$$

Then, choose a subsequence (x_n^2) of (x_n^1) such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(x_n^2 - f) \mid K_2\|_{C(K_2)} \leq \varepsilon'_2.$$

We continue similarly to choose the sequences $(x_n^k)_n$ for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$, and then we define the subsequence (y_n) of (x_n) by $y_n = x_n^n$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$. Since for every $m \in \mathbf{N}$ we have that $(y_n)_{n \geq m}$ is a subsequence of $(x_n^m)_n$, the conclusion of Claim D follows.

We shall prove that $[y_n]$ is an i.p. space.

Since (y_n) is a basic sequence with $\inf_n \|y_n\| > 0$, there exists $M > 0$ such that if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n \in [y_n]$ with $\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n\| \leq 1$ then

- $\|\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n\| \leq M$, $\forall m \in \mathbf{N}$, and
- $|\lambda_n| \leq M$, $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Claim E: Assume that for some $m_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ and for some $x \in K_{m_0}$ we have that $f(x) \neq 0$. Let $y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n \in [y_n]$ with $\|y_n\| = 1$. Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$ converges and

$$|\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_n| \leq \frac{2M}{|f(x)|}, \quad \forall m \geq m_0.$$

Indeed, for every $m_0 \leq m \leq m'$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{n=m}^{m'} \lambda_n y_n(x) - \sum_{n=m}^{m'} \lambda_n f(x)| &\leq \sum_{n=m}^{m'} |\lambda_n| |y_n(x) - f(x)| \\ &\leq M \sum_{n=m}^{m'} \|(y_n - f) \mid K_{m_0}\|_{C(K_{m_0})}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$ converges since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n(x)$ converges and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(y_n - f) \mid K_{m_0}\|_{C(K_{m_0})}$ converges. Also, by taking $m' \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that

$$|\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n(x) - \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_n f(x)| \leq M \varepsilon'_m \leq M, \quad \forall m \geq m_0. \quad (5)$$

We also have that

$$\|\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n\| \leq M. \quad (6)$$

Combining (5) and (6) we obtain that

$$|\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_n| = \frac{1}{|f(x)|} |\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \lambda_n f(x)| \leq \frac{2M}{|f(x)|}.$$

The proof of Claim E is complete.

Now, if $f \mid K \neq 0$ then choose $m_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in K_{m_0}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$. Otherwise set $m_0 = 1$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 in [F4].

For every $m \geq m_0$ the set of functions $\{y_1 \mid K_m, \dots, y_m \mid K_m, f \mid K_m\}$ is uniformly continuous on $(K_m, \text{weak}^* \text{ topology})$. Therefore, there exists $\delta_m > 0$ such that

$$k_1, k_2 \in K_m \ \& \ d(k_1, k_2) < \delta_m \Rightarrow \begin{cases} |y_n(k_1) - y_n(k_2)| < \varepsilon'_m, \ \forall n = 1, \dots, m \\ \text{and } |f(k_1) - f(k_2)| < \varepsilon'_m. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

For every $m \geq m_0$ since $(K_m, d(\cdot, \cdot))$ is a compact metric space, we can choose a finite set $A_m \subset K_m$ such that

$$\forall k \in K_m \exists a \in A_m d(k, a) < \delta_m. \quad (8)$$

Claim F: For $y \in [y_n]$ and $m \geq m_0$ such that $1 = \|y\| = \|y \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)}$, there exists $a \in A_m$ such that

$$|y(a)| \geq \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{5mM}{|f(x)|} \varepsilon'_m & \text{if } f \neq 0 \\ 1 - 3mM \varepsilon'_m & \text{if } f = 0. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, let $y = \sum_n \lambda_n y_n$ with $1 = \|y\| = \|y \mid K_m\|_{C(K_m)}$ and let $k \in K_m$ with $|y(k)| = 1$. By (8), there exists $a \in A_m$ with $d(k, a) < \delta_m$. Thus by (7) we have that

$$|f(a) - f(k)| < \varepsilon'_m \text{ and } |y_n(a) - y_n(k)| < \varepsilon'_m \text{ for } n = 1, \dots, m. \quad (9)$$

The following computation is valid whether $f \neq 0$ or $f = 0$. If $f = 0$ then we set $(\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f) \mid K_m = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} |y(a) - y(k)| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n(a) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n(k) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^m |\lambda_n| |y_n(a) - y_n(k)| + \left| \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n(a) - \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n(k) \right| \\ &\leq mM \varepsilon'_m + \left| \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n(a) - \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f(a) + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f(a) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f(k) + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f(k) - \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n(k) \right| \\ &\leq mM \varepsilon'_m + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| |y_n(a) - f(a)| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n |f(a) - f(k)| + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| |f(k) - y_n(k)| \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $f \neq 0$, by (9), and Claims D and E we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |y(a) - y(k)| &\leq mM \varepsilon'_m + M \varepsilon'_m + \frac{2M}{|f(x)|} \varepsilon'_m + M \varepsilon'_m \\ &\leq \frac{5mM}{|f(x)|} \varepsilon'_m. \end{aligned}$$

If $f = 0$, by (9) and Claim D we obtain that

$$|y(a) - y(k)| \leq mM\varepsilon'_m + M\varepsilon'_m + M\varepsilon'_m \leq 3mM\varepsilon'_m.$$

Since $|y(k)| = 1$, the proof of Claim F is complete.

Choose $m'_0 \geq m_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{5nM}{|f(x)|}\varepsilon'_n &\in (0, 1) & \text{if } f \neq 0, \text{ and} \\ 1 - 3nM\varepsilon'_n &\in (0, 1) & \text{if } f = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq m'_0$. Then choose a sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq m'_0} \subset (0, 1)$ with $\lim_n \varepsilon_n = 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon_n}(1 - \frac{5nM}{|f(x)|}\varepsilon'_n) &> 1 & \text{if } f \neq 0, \text{ and} \\ \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon_n}(1 - 3nM\varepsilon'_n) &> 1 & \text{if } f = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq m'_0$. Claim F shows that the assumptions of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied for the Banach space $[y_n]$, $I = \emptyset$, $J = \{m'_0, m'_0 + 1, \dots\}$ and $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq m'_0}$. Thus $[y_n]$ is an i.p. space which finishes the proof of Theorem 3.2. $\square$

Using Theorem 1 of [F4] we can give an easy proof of the following weaker result than Theorem 1.4.

Remark 1.6 Under the same hypotheses of Theorem 1.4 there exist a sequence $(\varepsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and an increasing sequence of positive integers (ℓ_k) such that $[(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell_k} \varepsilon_i(x_i - x_{i-1}))_k]$ is an i.p. space.

Indeed, the proof of Theorem 1 in [F4] shows the following:

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$ be subsets of $Ba(X^*)$ and let (w_n) be a sequence in X . If (w_n) is basic, $\inf_n \|w_n\| > 0$, $\sum_n \|w_n\| K_n < \infty$ and $\cup_n K_n$ is an isomorphically precisely norming set, then $[w_n]$ is an i.p. Banach space.

Now, the proof of the assertion of the remark can be sketched as follows: If there is no subsequence of (x_n) equivalent to the summing basis, then there exists a sequence $(\varepsilon_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i(x_i - x_{i-1})\right)_n \text{ is not bounded.}$$

Therefore there exists an increasing sequence (n_k) of integers such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \varepsilon_i(x_i - x_{i-1}) \right\| \geq 2^k k, \quad \forall k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Set $z_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \varepsilon_i(x_i - x_{i-1})$ for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Since (z_k) does not converge in norm, and $(y(z_k))$ is Cauchy for every $y \in \text{span } E$, we obtain (as in the proof of Theorem 1.4) that there exists $z \in X$ (z can also be zero) and an increasing sequence (m_k) of integers such that $(z_{m_k} - z)$ is a basic sequence. Set

$$K_m = \{x^* \in Ba(X^*) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x^*(x_n - x_{n-1})| \leq m\}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}$$

(where $x_0 = 0$). We easily see that

$$\sum_k \left\| \frac{z_{m_k} - z}{\|z_{m_k} - z\|} \mid K_k \right\| < \infty.$$

Thus, by the above mentioned Theorem 1 of [F4] we obtain that

$$\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{m_k}} \varepsilon_i(x_i - x_{i-1}) \right)_k \right] \text{ is an i.p. space.}$$

4 Applications

As a first application we strengthen a corollary of Theorem 1.2 which was also proved in a different way by R. Haydon, E. Odell and H. Rosenthal [HOR]. First we need some definitions. Let K be a compact metric space. $B_1(K)$ denotes the class of bounded Baire-1 functions on K , i.e. the pointwise limits of the uniformly bounded sequences of continuous functions on K . $DSC(K)$ denotes the space of bounded Differences of Semi-Continuous functions on K , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} DSC(K) = \{ & f : K \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid \text{there exists a uniformly bounded sequence} \\ & (f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset C(K) \text{ such that } \lim_n f_n(k) = f(k) \text{ and} \\ & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_{n+1}(k) - f_n(k)| < \infty \text{ for all } k \in K \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let f be a non-continuous function on $B_1(K)$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be a non-empty class of Banach spaces. Using terminology which was introduced by R. Haydon, E. Odell and H. Rosenthal [HOR], we say that f *governs* $\mathcal{C}$ if for every uniformly bounded sequence (f_n) of continuous functions on K which converges pointwise to f on K , there exists $X \in \mathcal{C}$ which embeds isomorphically in the closed linear span $[f_n]$ of (f_n) equipped with the supremum norm. We say that f *strictly governs* $\mathcal{C}$ if for every uniformly bounded sequence (f_n) of continuous functions on K which converges pointwise to f on K there exists a convex block sequence (g_n) of (f_n) such that the closed linear span $[g_n]$ of (g_n) is isomorphic to some $X \in \mathcal{C}$. A corollary of Theorem 1.2 which was proved in a different way by R. Haydon, E. Odell and H. Rosenthal can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.8 [[E2], [HOR]] Let $f \in DSC(K) \setminus C(K)$ be given, where K is a compact metric space. Then f governs $\{c_0\}$.

A generalization of this result is the following:

Theorem 1.7 Let $f \in DSC(K) \setminus C(K)$ be given, where K is a compact metric space. Then f strictly governs the class of (separable) polyhedral Banach spaces.

For deducing Theorem 1.7 from Theorem 1.4 we need the next well known remark. We first fix some terminology: If A is a subset of a Banach space X then $\tilde{A}$ denotes the weak* closure of A in X^{**} . Also if A, B are non-empty subsets of $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ then the minimum distance between A and B is defined by:

$$\text{md}(A, B) = \inf\{\|a - b\| : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Remark 4.1 If A, B are convex subsets of a Banach space, then $\text{md}(A, B) = \text{md}(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B})$.

Thus, if $f \in DSC(K) \setminus C(K)$ and a bounded sequence (f_n) of continuous functions which converges pointwise to f on K , are given, then by Remark 4.1 there exists a convex block sequence (g_n) of (f_n) such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g_{n+1}(k) - g_n(k)| < \infty, \quad \forall k \in K.$$

Since $f \notin C(K)$, we can also assume (by considering an appropriate subsequence) that (g_n) is a semi-normalized basic sequence. Thus Theorem 1.4 gives that some subsequence of (g_n) spans an i.p. Banach space, which proves Theorem 1.7.

As a second application we obtain an Orlicz-Pettis type result:

Theorem 1.9 Let (y_n) be a sequence in a Banach space X and let E be an isomorphically precisely norming set for X . If c_0 does not embed isomorphically in the closed linear span $[y_n]$ of (y_n) and

$$\sum_n |x^*(y_n)| < \infty, \quad \forall x^* \in E,$$

then $\sum_n y_n$ converges unconditionally.

Proof For $(\eta_i) \subset \{\pm 1\}^{\mathbf{N}}$ define the sequence (x_n) by

$$x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i y_i, \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

We have that the sequence (x_n) satisfies (3). Since c_0 does not embed isomorphically in $[y_n] = [x_n]$, we have that the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 fails. Thus the sequence (x_n) converges in norm. Hence $\sum_n y_n$ converges unconditionally. $\square$

As a final application of Theorem 1.4 we prove the following immediate corollary which has been proved previously by V. Fonf [F4].

Corollary 4.2 *Let X be a Banach space which does not contain an isomorph of c_0 . Let A be a subset of X , and let B be an isomorphically precisely norming subset of X^* . If for every $b \in B$ the set $\{b(a) : a \in A\}$ is bounded, then A is bounded.*

Proof If A is not bounded, we can find a sequence $(a_n) \subset A$ such that $\|a_n\| > 2^n$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Set

$$\alpha_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{\|a_i\|}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Thus

$$\sum |b(\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n)| < \infty, \quad \forall b \in B.$$

Since X does not contain an isomorph of c_0 , by Theorem 1.4 we obtain that (α_n) converges in norm, which is a contradiction. $\square$

References

- [BP] C. Bessaga, A. Pelczynski, *On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces*, Stud. Math. **17** (1958), 151-164.
- [D] J. Diestel, *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **92**, Springer-Verlag.
- [DFH] R. Deville, V. Fonf, P. Hájek, *Analytic and polyhedral approximation of convex bodies in separable polyhedral Banach spaces*, preprint.
- [E1] J. Elton, Thesis, Yale University, New Haven, Ct.
- [E2] J. Elton, *Extremely weakly unconditionally convergent series*, Israel J. Math. **40** (1981), 255-258.
- [F1] V. P. Fonf, *One property of Lindenstrauss-Phelps spaces*, Functional Anal. Appl. **13** No 1 (1979), 66-67.
- [F2] V. P. Fonf, *Some properties of polyhedral Banach spaces*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen., **14** No. 4 (1980), 89-90.
- [F3] V. P. Fonf, *Polyhedral Banach spaces*, Matematicheskie Zametki **30** No 4 (1981), 627-634.
- [F4] V. P. Fonf, *Weakly extremely properties of Banach spaces*, Math. Notes Acad. Sci. USSR, Eng. Trans. **45** (1989), 488-494.
- [F5] V. P. Fonf, *Three characterizations of polyhedral Banach spaces*, Ukrain Math. J. Eng. Trans. **42** No 9 (1990), 1145-1148.
- [HOR] R. Haydon, E. Odell, H. Rosenthal, *On certain classes of Baire-1 functions with applications to Banach space theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1470, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1991.
- [K] V. L. Klee, *Polyhedral sections of convex bodies*, Acta Math. **4** No. 4 (1966), 235-242.
- [KF] M. Kadets, V. Fonf, *Some properties of the set of extreme points of the unit ball of a Banach space*, Math. Notes **20** (3) (1976), 737-739.

- [L] D. Leung, *Some isomorphically polyhedral Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math. **87** (1994), 117-128.
- [R] H. Rosenthal, *Some aspects of the subspace structure of infinite dimensional Banach spaces*, in Approximation Theory and Functional Analysis (C. Chuy, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1990.

G. Androulakis, Math. Sci. Bldg., University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia,
MO 65211-0001
e-mail: giorgis@math.missouri.edu